

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,926	02/27/2002	Lixiao Wang	10527-395001 / 02-026	4859
26161 75	90 09/30/2004		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICH	ARDSON PC		HO, UY	YEN T
225 FRANKLII	N ST			
BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			7
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	r.n
_	10/083,926	WANG ET AL.	Ur.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of thirty ricol will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this con NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 February 2002		
<i>;</i>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	rs, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19,37-42 and 44-</u>	•	deration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-36 and 43</u> is/are rejected.		·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		•
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col	rrection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been r	eceived in this National	Stage
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
	, , , , ,		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	, — · · · · ·	formal Patent Application (PTO	-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/23/02, 8/1/03</u> .	o) 🗀 Other:	_ ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/083,926

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9/23/02 and 8/01/03 are acknowledged and considered.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 43 is objected to because of the following informalities: Depending on a non-elected claim. Claim 43 should be rewrite in an independent form or canceled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 10, 14-18, 20-22, 32, 33, 35, 36 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barath (5,196,024).

Barath discloses a medical device including: a balloon (2) having portions of different materials (2, 13, 15 or 17), a cutting element (6) and striped portions (13, 15, 17). Wherein the portions including cutting element inherently have distensibility lower than other portions. Claims 10, 20 and 43 are a product by process claims, the balloon as claimed does not include structure distinct from the prior art.

In regard to claim 16, the number of cutting elements is less than the number of striped portions (13, 15, 17).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,926

Art Unit: 3731

In regard to claims 17 and 18, the striped portions (13 or 15 or 17) extend parallel to the longitudinal axis of the balloon and are equally spaced around the circumference of the balloon.

In regard to claims 21 and 32, the balloon is a multi-layered balloon (layers 2,13, 15, 17) and comprises inorganic material.

In regard 33, 35 and 36, the cutting element is carried by the balloon centered over the striped portions (fig. 11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6-9, 11-13, 23-31 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barath (5,196,024). In regard to claims 6-9, 11-13, 23-29 and 34, although, Barath does not disclose the length of the striped portions as claimed, it is well known to adjusting the length of the striped portions in order to accommodate the cutting elements and it is known in the art to adjust the length of the cutting elements in order to provide a desired cutting surface on the balloon. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Barath striped portions to accommodate certain size of cutting elements in order to provide a desired cutting surface on the balloon for treating a certain area on a vessel wall.

In regard to claim 30, although Barath does not disclose the striped portion being made from a liquid crystal polymer, Barath suggests to make the strips from hard plastic. A liquid crystal polymer is a well-known material in the art to make angioplasty balloon portions that require rigid. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the material of the striped portion of Barath's balloon with a liquid crystal polymer in order to provide stiffness to a balloon portion to support the cutting element on the balloon.

In regard to claim 31, although, Barath does not disclose radiopaque markers on the balloon, it is well known in the art to provide visual makers or making the surgical components from radiopaque material in order to indicate the location of the surgical components at treated site. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ colorant or radiopaque markers on the striped portions where the cutting element disposed in order to locate the cutting elements at the treated site and make a precise cut.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is (703) 306-3421. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN or NGUYEN can be reached on 703-308-2154. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho Patent Examiner Art Unit 3731

September 22, 2004